18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

CHERYL D. KEILY, SNB# 94008 Department of Real Estate 320 West Fourth Street, Ste. 350 Los Angeles, California 90013

Telephone: (213) 576-6982 (Direct) (213) 576-6905

AUG 2 7 2009

DEPARTMENT OF REAL ESTATE

BY:

### DEPARTMENT OF REAL ESTATE

#### STATE OF CALIFORNIA

\* \* \* \* \*

In the Matter of the Accusation

No. H-36206 LA

NIVI INC., and doing business as Loan Mods Plus; and
VINCENT DOMINIC BINDI,
individually, and as
designated broker officer
for NIVI Inc.

 $\underline{\underline{A}} \ \underline{\underline{C}} \ \underline{\underline{C}} \ \underline{\underline{U}} \ \underline{\underline{S}} \ \underline{\underline{A}} \ \underline{\underline{T}} \ \underline{\underline{I}} \ \underline{\underline{O}} \ \underline{\underline{N}}$ 

The Complainant, Robin Trujillo, a Deputy Real Estate Commissioner of the State of California, for cause of Accusation against NIVI INC. ("NIVI"), and doing business as Loan Mods Plus; and VINCENT DOMINIC BINDI ("BINDI") is informed and alleges as follows:

1.

The Complainant, Robin Trujillo, a Deputy Real Estate

Commissioner of the State of California, makes this Accusation in

her official capacity.

Respondent NIVI is presently licensed and/or has license rights under the Real Estate Law (Part 1 of Division 4 of the Business and Professions Code, hereinafter "Code"), as a real estate corporation acting by and through Respondent BINDI as its designated broker-officer.

3.

Respondent BINDI is presently licensed and/or has license rights under the Real Estate Law as a real estate broker and designated broker-officer of Respondent NIVI.

4.

All further references to respondents herein include Respondents NIVI and BINDI, and also include officers, directors, employees, agents and real estate licensees employed by or associated with NIVI and BINDI, and who at all times herein mentioned were engaged in the furtherance of the business or operations of Respondents NIVI and BINDI, and who were acting within the course and scope of their authority and employment.

5.

At all times relevant herein Respondent BINDI, as the officer designated by Respondent NIVI pursuant to Section 10211 of the Code, was responsible for the supervision and control of the activities conducted on behalf of Respondent NIVI by its officers and employees as necessary to secure full compliance with the Real Estate Law as set forth in Section 10159.2 of the Code.

///

Respondent BINDI ordered, caused, authorized or participated in the conduct of Respondent NIVI, as is alleged in this Accusation.

## FIRST CAUSE OF ACCUSATION (Advance Fee Violation)

7.

At all times mentioned herein, in the State of California, Respondent engaged in the business of claiming, demanding, charging, receiving, collecting or contracting for the collection of advance fees, within the meaning of Code Section 10026, including, but not limited to, the following loan modification activities with respect to loans which were secured by liens on real property:

a. On or about December 31, 2008, Respondents NIVI and BINDI, using the fictitious business name "Loan Mods Plus," collected an advance fee of \$2,950 from Heather Hernandez pursuant to the provisions of a written agreement pertaining to loan modification services to be provided by Respondent with respect to a loan secured by the real property located at 1740 Sunshine Drive, Concord, California 94520.

8.

Respondents collected the advance fees described in Paragraph 7, above, pursuant to the provisions of a written agreement which constitutes an advance fee agreement within the meaning of Code Section 10085.

||///

///

///

Respondents failed to submit the written agreement referred to in Paragraphs 7 and 8, above, to the Commissioner ten days before using it in violation of Code Section 10085 and Section 2970, Title 10, Chapter 6, Code of Regulations ("Regulations").

10.

The conduct, acts and/or omissions of Respondents, as set forth above, are cause for the suspension or revocation of the licenses and license rights of Respondent pursuant to Code Sections 10085, 10177(d) and/or 10177(g).

# SECOND CAUSE OF ACCUSATION (Failure to Supervise)

11.

Complainant incorporates by this reference the allegations set forth in Paragraphs 1 through 10, above.

12.

The conduct, acts and/or omissions of Respondent BINDI in failing to exercise reasonable supervision over the activities of Respondent NIVI, as more fully set forth above, are cause for the suspension or revocation of the licenses and license rights of Respondent BINDI pursuant to Code sections 10177(d), (g) and/or (h) for violation of Code section 10159.2.

conducted on the allegations of this Accusation and that upon proof thereof, a decision be rendered imposing disciplinary action against all the licenses and license rights of Respondent NIVI INC. and VINCENT DOMINIC BINDI, individually, and as designated broker officer of Nivi Inc., under the Real Estate Law (Part 1 of Division 4 of the Business and Professions Code), and for such other and further relief as may be proper under other applicable provisions of law.

Dated at Los Angeles, California this 24 day of 4 May 12009.

WHEREFORE, Complainant prays that a hearing be

Robin Trujillo

Deputy Real Estate Commissioner

Nivi Inc. Vincent Dominic Bindi Robin Trujillo Sacto.