CHERYL D. KEILY, SNB# 94008 Department of Real Estate 320 West Fourth Street, Ste. 350 Los Angeles, California 90013

Telephone: (213) 576-6982 (Direct) (213) 576-5770

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

FILED

JUL 1 5 2009

DEPARTMENT OF REAL ESTATE
BY:

DEPARTMENT OF REAL ESTATE

STATE OF CALIFORNIA

\* \* \* \*

In the Matter of the Accusation

No. H-35827 LA

MDHT CORPORATION, doing busi- )
ness as American Loan Help )
Center, Gold Key Funding and )
Gold Key Properties; and )
CHARLES MIKE DUNKELMAN, indi- )
vidually, and doing business )
as Gold Key Funding and Gold )
Key Properties, and as desig- )
nated officer for MDHT )
Corporation,

Respondents.

FIRST AMENDED ACCUSATION

This First Amended Accusation amends the Accusation filed on April 3, 2009.

The Complainant, Robin Trujillo, a Deputy Real Estate
Commissioner of the State of California, for cause of Accusation
against MDHT CORPORATION, doing business as American Loan Help
Center, Gold Key Funding and Gold Key Properties, and CHARLES

27

MIKE DUNKELMAN ("DUNKELMAN"), individually, and doing business as
Gold Key Funding and Gold Key Properties, and as designated
officer for MDHT Corporation, is informed and alleges as follows:

1.

The Complainant, Robin Trujillo, a Deputy Real Estate

Commissioner of the State of California, makes this Accusation in

her official capacity.

2.

Respondent MDHT CORPORATION is presently licensed and/or has license rights under the Real Estate Law (Part 1 of Division 4 of the Business and Professions Code, hereinafter "Code"), as a corporate real estate broker acting by and through Respondent DUNKELMAN as its designated broker-officer at all times relevant herein.

3.

Respondent DUNKELMAN is presently licensed and/or has license rights under the Real Estate Law as a real estate broker and designated broker-officer of Respondent MDHT CORPORATION.

4.

At all times material herein for compensation, or in expectation of compensation, Respondents engaged in the business of soliciting borrowers or lenders, or negotiating loans, including but not limited to loan modifications, or collecting payments, or performing services for borrowers or lenders or note owners in connection with loans secured directly or collaterally by liens on real property on behalf of another or others within

the meaning of Code Section 10131(d).

(. .

5.

All further references to respondents herein include Respondents MDHT CORPORATION and DUNKELMAN, and also include officers, directors, employees, agents and real estate licensees employed by or associated with MDHT CORPORATION and DUNKELMAN, and who at all times herein mentioned were engaged in the furtherance of the business or operations of Respondents MDHT CORPORATION and DUNKELMAN, and who were acting within the course and scope of their authority and employment.

6.

At all times relevant herein Respondent DUNKELMAN, as the officer designated by Respondent MDHT CORPORATION pursuant to Section 10211 of the Code, was responsible for the supervision and control of the activities conducted on behalf of Respondent MDHT CORPORATION by its officers and employees as necessary to secure full compliance with the Real Estate Law as set forth in Section 10159.2 of the Code.

#### FIRST CAUSE OF ACCUSATION (Advance Fee Violation)

7.

At all times mentioned herein, in the State of California, Respondents MDHT CORPORATION and DUNKELMAN engaged in the business of claiming, demanding, charging, receiving, collecting or contracting for the collection of advance fees, within the meaning of Code Section 10026, including, but not

limited to, the following loan modification activities with respect to loans which were secured by liens on real property:

On or about July 17, 2008, Respondents collected a. an advance fee of \$995 from Candy Cook pursuant to the provisions of a written agreement pertaining to loan modification services to be provided by Respondents with respect to a loan secured by the real property located at 16687 Las Ramblas, Unit F, Parker, Colorado 80134.

On or about August 13, 2008, Respondents collected b. an advance fee of \$500 from Normal Aleman pursuant to the provisions of a written agreement pertaining to loan modification services to be provided by Respondents with respect to a loan secured by the real property located at 1239 Sea Reef Drive, San Diego, California 92154.

On or about September 8, 2008, Respondents collected an advance fee totaling \$1,418 from Kathy Stubbs pursuant to the provisions of a written agreement pertaining to loan modification services to be provided by Respondents with respect to a loan secured by the real property located at 3003 Airway Street, East Wenatchee, Washington 98802.

Respondents collected the advance fees described in Paragraph 7, above, pursuant to the provisions of a document which constitutes an advance fee agreement within the meaning of Code Section 10085.

1

2

3

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

///

Respondents failed to submit the written agreements referred to in Paragraph 7, above, to the Commissioner ten days before using them in violation of Code Section 10085 and Regulation 2970.

10.

The conduct, acts and/or omissions of Respondents MDHT CORPORATION and DUNKELMAN, as set forth above, are cause for the suspension or revocation of the licenses and license rights of Respondent MDHT CORPORATION and Respondent DUNKELMAN pursuant to Code Sections 10085, 10177(d) and/or 10177(g).

## SECOND CAUSE OF ACCUSATION (Audit Violations)

11.

Complainant hereby incorporates by reference the allegations set forth in Paragraphs 1 through 10, above.

12.

On or about May 14, 2009, the Department completed an audit examination of the books and records of MDHT CORPORATION pertaining to the real estate activities described in Paragraphs 4 and 7, above, covering a period from January 1, 2008, to March 31, 2009.

13.

At all times mentioned herein, and in connection with the activities described in Paragraphs 4 and 7, above, Respondent MDHT CORPORATION accepted or received funds, including advance

fees to be held in trust from or on behalf of actual or

prospective parties to transactions handled by MDHT, and

thereafter made deposits and/or disbursements of such funds.

From time-to-time herein mentioned during the audit period, said

trust funds were deposited into an account maintained by

Respondent as follows:

7

8

9

10

11

12

6

Account Name: "1135 E. Route 66,

LLC dba American Loan Help Center" "BA #1"

Account No.

0241170432

Bank Name: Bank of America

Signatories: Charles Mike Dunkelman

Joe Anthony Fields Fred Robert Faught

Vicki Fields Joanne Faught

13

14.

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

2425

26

26 27 The audit examination revealed violations of the Code and the Regulations, as set forth in the following paragraphs, and more fully discussed in Audit Report No. LA 080215 along with the exhibits and work papers attached to the audit report:

- (a) Failed to maintain B/A #1 in the name of Respondent MDHT CORPORATION as trustee at a bank or other financial institution and to designate the account as a trust account for the deposit of advance fees ("trust funds") collected by MDHT CORPORATION in violation of Code Sections 10145 and 10146 and Sections 2832(a), 2950(d) and 2951 of the Regulations.
- (b) Failed to deposit the advance fees described in Paragraph 7, above, into a real estate broker trust account in violation of Code Section 10146.

disbursement of trust funds from BA #1 so that as of March 31, 2009, the trust account had a shortage of \$9,347.25. Respondents caused, permitted and/or allowed said withdrawal or disbursement of trust funds from account BA #1 so that the total of aggregate funds remaining in the trust account was less than the existing aggregate trust fund liability of Respondent MDHT CORPORATION to every principal who was an owner of said funds without first obtaining the prior written consent of the owners of said funds as is required by Code Section 10145 and Sections 2832.1, 2950(d), 2950(g) and 2951 of the Regulations.

Permitted, allowed or caused the withdrawal or

- Permitted two unlicensed and unbonded persons, Vicky Fields and Joanne Faught, as authorized signatories on account BA #1 in violation of Code Section 10145 and Section 2834 of the Regulations.
- Used the fictitious names "MDHT" and "Gold Key" on some Mortgage Loan Disclosure Statements and Broker-Associate Agreements and used the business name "1135 E. Route 66, LLC" on account BA #1 without holding a license bearing said fictitious business names in violation of Code Section 10159.5 and Section 2731 of the Regulations.
- Failed to notify the Department within five days (f) of the employment of salespersons Christine Garcia, Joe Anthony Fields and Fred Robert Faught as is required by Code Section 10161.8 and Section 2752 of the Regulations.
- (g) Failed to maintain the original salesperson license certificate for Jimmy William Smith in violation of Code

27

26

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

section 10160 and Section 2753 of the Regulations.

(h) Failed to maintain an Independent Contractor

Agreement with Debbie Grohs and Jimmy William Smith in violation

of Section 2726 of the Regulations.

- (i) Failed to disclose loan commissions and other fees paid to Respondent MDHT CORPORATION on the Mortgage Loan Disclosure Statement in the column designated "Paid To Broker" in violation of Code Section 10240 and Section 2840 of the Regulations.
- (j) Failed to disclose the corporate real estate license number of Respondent MDHT CORPORATION on the Mortgage Loan Disclosure Statement in two of eight files examined by the auditors in violation of Code Section 10236.4.
- (k) Respondent DUNKELMAN failed to have a system in place for regularly monitoring compliance with the Real Estate Law, particularly with respect to establishing systems, policies and procedures to review trust fund handling in violation of Code Sections 10159.2 and 10177(h) and Section 2725 of the Regulations.

20 | ///

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

1.5

16

17

18

19

21 ///

22 1///

23 ///

24 ///

25 ///

26 ///

27 1///

#### DISCIPLINE STATUTES AND REGULATIONS

15.

2

3

1

The conduct of Respondents MDHT CORPORATION and
DUNKELMAN described in Paragraph 14, above, violated the Code and
the Regulations as set forth below:

5	the Regulations as set forth	below:
6	PARAGRAPH	PROVISIONS VIOLATED
7	14 (a)	Code Section 10145, 10146 and
8		Sections 2832(a), 2950(d) and 2951
9		of the Regulations
10	14(b)	Code Section 10146
11		
12	14(c)	Code Section 10145 and Sections
13	·	2832.1, 2950(d) 2950(g) and 2951 of
14		the Regulations
15	14(d)	Code Section 10145 and Section 2834
16		of the Regulations
17		
18	14(e)	Code Section 10159.5 and Section
19		2731 of the Regulations
20	14(f) .	Code Section 10161.8 and Section
21		2752 of the Regulations
22	14 (g)	Code Section 10160 and Section 2753
23		
24		of the Regulations
25	. 14(h)	Section 2726 of the Regulations
26	14(i)	Code Section 10240 and Section 2840
27	·	of the Regulations
	1	

14(j) Code Section 10236.4 1 14(k) Code Section 10159.2 2 and Section 2725 of the 3 Regulations 5 6 16. 7 The foregoing violations, as set forth hereinabove, 8 constitute cause for the suspension or revocation of the real 9 estate licenses and license rights of MDHT CORPORATION and 10 DUNKELMAN under the provisions of Code Sections 10177(d) for 11 violation of the Real Estate Law and/or 10177(g) for negligence 12 br incompetence. 13 14 THIRD CAUSE OF ACCUSATION (Unlicensed Activity) 15 17. 16 Complainant hereby incorporates by reference the 17 18 allegations set forth in Paragraphs 1 through 16, above. 19 18. 20 The activities described in Paragraphs 4 and 7, above, 21 require a real estate license under Sections 10131(d) and 10131.2 22 of the Code. 23 19. 24 Respondents violated Section 10137 of the Code by 25 employing and/or compensating individuals who were not licensed 26

27

as a real estate salesperson or as a broker to perform activities requiring a license as follows:

- a. Respondents employed and/or compensated Bret Lyon to perform some or all of the services alleged in Paragraphs 4, and 7(a), above, though he was not licensed as a real estate salesperson or broker.
- b. Respondents employed and/or compensated Armando Ramirez to perform some or all of the services alleged in Paragraphs 4 and 7(b), above, though he was not licensed as a real estate salesperson or broker.
- c. Respondents employed and/or compensated Carl Velasquez to perform some or all of the services alleged in Paragraphs 4 and 7(c), above, though he was not licensed as a real estate salesperson or broker.

20.

The conduct, acts and/or omissions of Respondents MDHT CORPORATION and DUNKELMAN, as set forth in Paragraph 15, above, violate Code Section 10137, and are cause for the suspension or revocation of the licenses and license rights of Respondents MDHT CORPORATION and DUNKELMAN pursuant to Code Sections 10177(d) and/or 10177(g).

### FOURTH CAUSE OF ACCUSATION (Branch Office Violation)

21.

Complainant hereby incorporates by reference the allegations set forth in Paragraphs 1 through 20, above.

Respondents engaged in a real estate brokerage business at 1135 E. Route 66, Suite 201, Glendora, California 91740, a location for which Respondents failed to apply for and procure from the Department an additional license for the branch office being maintained by them, in violation of Section 10163 of the Code.

23.

The conduct, acts and/or omissions of Respondents, as set forth in Paragraph 22, above, violate Code Section 10163 and are cause for the suspension or revocation of the licenses and license rights of Respondent pursuant to Code Sections 10165, 10177(d) and/or 10177(g).

### FIFTH CAUSE OF ACCUSATION (Failure to Supervise)

24.

Complainant incorporates by reference the allegations contained in Paragraphs 1 through 23, above.

25.

Respondent DUNKELMAN ordered, caused, authorized or participated in the conduct of Respondent MDHT CORPORATION, as is alleged in this Accusation.

26.

The conduct, acts and/or omissions, of Respondent

DUNKELMAN, in allowing Respondent MDHT CORPORATION to violate the

Real Estate Law, as set forth above, constitutes a failure by

DUNKELMAN, as the officer designated by a corporate broker licensee, to exercise the supervision and control over the activities of MDHT CORPORATION, as required by Code Section 10159.2, and is cause to suspend or revoke the real estate licenses and license rights of DUNKELMAN under Code Sections 10177(d), 10177(g) and/or 10177(h).

WHEREFORE, Complainant prays that a hearing be conducted on the allegations of this Accusation and that upon proof thereof, a decision be rendered imposing disciplinary action against all the licenses and license rights of Respondent MDHT CORPORATION, and Respondent CHARLES MIKE DUNKELMAN, individually, and as designated broker-officer of Respondent MDHT Corporation, under the Real Estate Law (Part 1 of Division 4 of the Business and Professions Code), and for such other and further relief as may be proper under other applicable provisions of law.

Dated at Los Angeles, California

this 15 day of July, 2009

Robin Trujillo

Deputy Real Estate Commissioner

rujillo

cc:

Frank M. Buda, esq.

CHARLES MIKE DUNKELMAN Robin Trujillo Sacto.

MDHT CORPORATION

# BEFORE THE DEPARTMENT OF REAL ESTATE STATE OF CALIFORNIA

In the Matter of the Accusation of

MDHT CORPORATION, doing business as American Loan Help Center, Gold Key Funding and Gold Key Properties; and CHARLES MIKE DUNKELMAN, individually, and doing business as Gold Key Funding and Gold Key Properties and as designated officer for MDHT Corporation,

Respondents.

Case No. H-35827 LA

NOTICE CONCERNING COSTS OF AUDITS

The enclosed Accusation contains a determination by a Deputy Real Estate Commissioner that you have either violated Section 10145 of the Business and Professions Code or a regulation of the Commissioner interpreting Section 10145, or both. Section 10148 of the Business and Professions Code provides that if this finding(s) becomes final, the Commissioner may charge you for the costs of any audit, including the audit which led to this action and a subsequent audit conducted to determine if the violations found have been corrected. Enclosed for your reference is a copy of the provisions of Section 10148 of the Business and Professions Code.

DEPARTMENT OF REAL ESTATE

Dated: July 15, 2009

Cheryl Keily , Counsel

#### SECTION 10148 CALIFORNIA BUSINESS AND PROFESSIONS CODE

- 10148. (a) A licensed real estate broker shall retain for three years copies of all listings, deposit receipts, canceled checks, trust records, and other documents executed by him or her or obtained by him or her in connection with any transactions for which a real estate broker license is required. The retention period shall run from the date of the closing of the transaction or from the date of the listing if the transaction is not consummated. After notice, the books, accounts, and records shall be made available for examination, inspection, and copying by the commissioner or his or her designated representative during regular business hours; and shall, upon the appearance of sufficient cause, be subject to audit without further notice, except that the audit shall not be harassing in nature.
- (b) The commissioner shall charge a real estate broker for the cost of any audit, if the commissioner has found, in a final desist and refrain order issued under Section 10086 or in a final decision following a disciplinary hearing held in accordance with Chapter 5 (commencing with Section 11500) of Part 1 of Division 3 of Title 2 of the Government Code that the broker has violated Section 10145 or a regulation or rule of the commissioner interpreting Section 10145.
- (c) If a broker fails to pay for the cost of an audit as described in subdivision (b) within 60 days of mailing a notice of billing, the commissioner may suspend or revoke the broker's license or deny renewal of the broker's license. The suspension or denial shall remain in effect until the cost is paid or until the broker's right to renew a license has expired.

The commissioner may maintain an action for the recovery of the cost in any court of competent jurisdiction. In determining the cost incurred by the commissioner for an audit, the commissioner may use the estimated average hourly cost for all persons performing audits of real estate brokers.